Protocol

MEETING PARTICIPATION:
Information on each application, including documents submitted by the applicant, as well as post-meeting results and staff comments will be available online at www.charleston-sc.gov/bar.

To view or participate in the Board Meeting, please refer to the following options:
• **In-Person** (to participate or view)
  Public Meeting Room at 2 George Street, First Floor
• **YouTube Streaming** (to view live or after the meeting)
  The meeting will be recorded and livestreamed to the City of Charleston BAR-L YouTube channel at https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCBofP1rUhr3PnAG1Y3w7a5Q/playlists.

PUBLIC WRITTEN COMMENT:
Use one of the following methods to submit written comments. The deadline to submit written comments is **12:00 PM, Tuesday, August 9, 2022** (one business day before the meeting). Comments must include your name, address, telephone number, meeting date, and project number. Written comments are provided to the Board 24 hours in advance of the meeting and will be acknowledged into the record and summarized; if this is a concern, you are encouraged to attend the meeting in person.
• Complete the Citizen Participation form at http://innovate.charleston-sc.gov/; or
• Call 843-724-3781; or
• Mail comments to: Department of Planning, Preservation & Sustainability, 2 George St, Charleston, SC 29401.
Protocol

MEETING PROCEDURES:
The Applicants (all team members) have been required to register and submit any documents in advance of the meeting. Staff will control the slide presentation that includes everything submitted by the Applicant by the deadline, in accordance with the Submittal Requirements. Applicants simply need to ask staff to advance to the next slide during your presentation. Applicants, Staff, and Board members are required to give their name whenever speaking.

PUBLIC COMMENT:
All applications heard today are part of public meeting format. Written public comment, received by the deadline of noon the day before the meeting, provided to the Board members 24 hours in advance of the meeting, is acknowledged into the record and summarized. Members of the public who wish to be heard in person during an agenda item’s public portion shall announce their name and address for the record.

BOARD MEMBERS:
Board members will be polled by the Chairperson for comments and for their vote on a motion. Each member, when voting, should respond “Yea, in favor” or “Nay, not in favor”. The Chair shall re-read the motion verbatim and the Board member making the motion should correct the Chair if he has not re-read the motion accurately.

Results will be posted on the City website at www.charleston-sc.gov/bar.
Agenda Item #1

Approval of Minutes from July 27 Meeting
Agenda Item #2

40 LINE STREET
TMS # 459-06-03-136/108/107/106/105/104/103/102/101/100 /092/093/094/090

Request final approval for mock-up panels.

New Construction | Cannon-Elliottborough | Old and Historic District
Agenda Item #2 (40 Line Street)

Applicant’s Presentation
Agenda Item #3

678 KING & 666 KING STREET
TMS # 460-40-04-118 / 034

Request preliminary approval for construction of a 55-unit affordable housing project.

New Construction | Westside | Height District 5 | Historic Corridor District
Agenda Item #3 (678 King & 666 King Street)

Applicant’s Presentation
LOWLINE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

678 KING ST
666 KING ST

PRELIMINARY SUBMITTAL

AUGUST 01, 2022

MCMILLAN PAZDAN SMITH ARCHITECTURE
121 CALHOUN ST
CHARLESTON, SC, 29401

MPS: This language has been developed further for this submittal. Smaller details of the openings, cornices, balconies, and other key areas have been provided for review and further discussion.

2. The punched openings at the windows are shown as 8 inches in depth. As Board comment previously emphasized the importance of those areas more in the overall design effort, Board should consider if the depth should affect the intention of punched openings. Light sources is a neutral language option.

MPS: Details of the brick windows have been provided showing the requested 8" recess.

3. Staff is not opposed to a nontraditional accent color, especially on a building adjacent to the Lowline and Interstate 26. This color is a neutral language palette.

MPS: The relationship of the brick reveals, brick sills, and soldier header courses have been refined and are shown on enlarged details in this submittal.

4. For final detailing, Staff recommends coordinating the openings at the ground floor with the brick reveal at the headers, and after contemplation whether limiting its use would help or harm the design, Staff recommends to narrow it down but will take for additional information in the preliminary submittal.

MPS: Staff recommends coordinating the openings at the ground floor with the brick reveal at the headers. Some brave accent color choices have been provided for review and further discussion.

5. The newly proposed utilizes a different and more pronounced language at the ground floor, effectively giving the building a base, and a more pronounced and higher detailed cornice with some contemporary stone reveals. These reveals may help to soften the proposed building color palette.

MPS: Details of the brick windows have been provided showing the requested 8" recess.

6. For final detailing, Staff recommends coordinating the openings at the ground floor with the brick reveal at the headers. Some brave accent color choices have been provided for review and further discussion.

MPS: Staff is not opposed to a nontraditional accent color, especially on a building adjacent to the Lowline and Interstate 26. This color is a neutral language palette.
SITE PHOTOS - ENLARGED

B005
ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND COPIES THEREOF FURNISHED BY MCMILLAN PAZDAN SMITH ARCHITECTURE ARE AND SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF MCMILLAN PAZDAN SMITH ARCHITECTURE. THESE MATERIALS ARE TO BE USED ONLY WITH RESPECT TO THIS PROJECT AND NOT TO BE USED WITH ANY OTHER PROJECT. WITH THE EXCEPTION OF ONE CONTRACT SET FOR EACH PARTY TO THE CONTRACT, SUCH DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE RETURNED OR SUITABLY ACCOUNTED FOR TO MCMILLAN PAZDAN SMITH ARCHITECTURE UPON COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT. SUBMISSION OR DISTRIBUTION TO MEET OFFICIAL REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS OR FOR OTHER PURPOSES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROJECT IS NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS PUBLICATION IN DEROGATION OF MCMILLAN PAZDAN SMITH'S COMMON LAW COPYRIGHT OR OTHER RESERVED RIGHTS. COPYRIGHT 2022 MCMILLAN PAZDAN SMITH - ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.
PERSPECTIVE 01 - APPROACH FROM KING
PERSPECTIVE 02 - PUBLIC PLAZA CONNECTING TO LOWLINE
PERSPECTIVE 03 - APPROACH FROM F STREET TO PLAZA
PERSPECTIVE 04 - ROAD BETWEEN LOWLINE HOUSING AND CHA BUILDINGS
PERSPECTIVE 06 - OUTDOOR AMENITY SPACE FOR LOWLINE HOUSING
PERSPECTIVE 07 - WALKING ALONG LOWLINE PATH TOWARDS PUBLIC PLAZA
PERSPECTIVE 08 - WALKING ALONG LOWLINE TO PUBLIC PLAZA
PERSPECTIVE 13 - APPROACH TO ENTRY

678 KING STREET

PERSPECTIVE

B412
GENERAL NOTES - ENCLOSURE AND GATES

1. All structural steel, and all structural concrete, shall be concrete and/or steel. Structural reinforcement shall be provided to the project architect. All structural steel shall be fully welded closure with anchors. Provide welded closure plates top & bottom.

2. All structural steel and unistrut framing is to be 1/4" = 1'.

3. Gate support structure is to be fully welded (AESS LEVEL 1).

4. Exact gate design is to be by delegated design. Provide signed and sealed structural engineering shop drawings.

5. TRASH/GENERATOR CLEARANCES. Provide three channels at vertical & horizontal gasket民族.

6. Field verify all dimensions prior to fabrication, including heavy duty concrete slab. Ref-structure and civil structural and concrete slab. Ref. structural and civil.

7. Top of sliding gates and swing gates is to align. GC to paint/stain to match site fencing by others.

8. PROVIDE BRICK CONTROL JOINTS AS RECOMMENDED IN BIA TECH.

9. All wood is to be S4S WESTERN RED CEDAR (NO.2 AND BETTER).

10. Top of CMU wall is to align.

SLOPE 0" - 0"

GRADE 2' - 0"

BRICK REVEAL 3/4" = 1'

TOP OF CMU WALL 7' - 4"

TYP 3/4"

BELOW GRADE 4' - 0"  (VERIFY)
Section Detail - Int Level Change (Non-Bearing)

Porch - Wall Detail

Ext Elevated Wall Detail - Wood & Siding

Ext Elevated Wall Detail - Wood & Brick

Ext Elevated Wall Detail - CMU & Brick

Ext Wall Detail - CMU & Siding

Ext Wall Detail - Wood & Siding

Ext Wall Detail Wood & Brick

Ext Wall Detail - CMU & Brick
1. Where top of window sill openings are less than 36" high, emergency escape override, complying with ASTM F2090, to limit normal opening stop to less than 4" and to automatically reset after each use.

2. All exterior windows are to be Kynar finished aluminum (black) on the exterior and factory finished painted wood (white) on the interior.

3. Insect screens are not to be provided.

4. Interior window treatments are OFI.

5. Dashed lines shown outside rough window opening dimensions indicate where field verify all rough opening dimensions, prior to fabrication.

6. Field verify all rough opening dimensions, prior to fabrication.

7. Windows types:
   - W1 - Fixed Top Sash
   - W2 - Fixed Top Sash
   - W3 - Fixed Top Sash
   - W4 - Fixed Top Sash
   - W5 - Casement & Fixed
   - W6 - Fixed
   - W7 - Fixed
   - W8a - Fixed
   - W8b - Fixed
   - W9a - Fixed
   - W9b - Fixed Top Sash
   - W10 - Fixed W/ Door
   - W11 - Fixed W/ Door
   - W12 - Fixed W/ Door
   - W13 - Fixed W/ Door
   - W14 - Fixed W/ Door
   - W15 - Fixed W/ Door

8. Glazing types:
   - a. Insulated
   - b. Low-E
   - c. Acoustically insulated
   - d. Clear (minimal tint)

9. Sheet title: Preliminary Bar Submittal

10. ALL GLAZING IN FIRE RATED DOORS IS TO MATCH RATING OF DOOR

11. EXTERIOR AND FACTORY FINISHED PAINTED WOOD (WHITE) ON THE INTERIOR.

12. GLAZING TYPES

13. SHEET TITLE: PRELIMINARY BAR SUBMITTAL

14. PROJECT ARCHITECT: MF

15. MCMILLAN PAZDAN SMITH ARCHITECTURE.

16. THESE MATERIALS ARE TO BE USED ONLY WITH RESPECT TO THIS PROJECT AND NOT TO BE USED WITH ANY OTHER PROJECT.

17. WITH THE EXCEPTION OF ONE CONTRACT SET FOR EACH PARTY TO THE CONTRACT, SUCH DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE RETURNED OR SUITABLY ACCOUNTED FOR TO MCMILLAN PAZDAN SMITH ARCHITECTURE UPON COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT.

18. SUBMISSION OR DISTRIBUTION TO A THIRD PARTY IS PROHIBITED.
LOW-LINE AFFORDABLE HOUSING
Charleston, SC | July, 2022
LOWLINE AFFORDABLE HOUSING: PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN
Charleston, SC | July, 2022
LEGEND

a. SCORED CONCRETE ENTRY PLAZA
b. BIKE RACKS/ SCREEN
c. BENCH
d. RAIN GARDEN/ BIO PLANTER
e. FOUNDATION PLANTING
f. PERMEABLE PAVERS (FIRE ACCESS)
g. RAILROAD TIE SEATING
h. EXISTING BILLBOARD
i. PROPOSED LOWLINE CONNECTION
j. PROPOSED F STREET CONNECTION

SCL SM: 1/4"=1'-0"
LOWLINE AFFORDABLE HOUSING: PRELIMINARY BAR  
ENLARGEMENT PLAN 1: PLANT PALETTE  
Charleston, SC | July, 2022
LEGEND

a. ARRIVAL TERRACE
b. SEATING AREA
c. GRAVEL RECREATION AREA
d. PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY
e. PLANTING AREA
f. I-26 COLUMNS
g. FIBERGLASS PLANTERS
h. EXISTING OAKS TO REMAIN

THE LOWLINE
I-26 OVERPASS

SCALE: 1/8"=1'-0"

ARRIVAL TERRACE
SEATING AREA
GRAVEL RECREATION AREA
PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY
PLANTING AREA
I-26 COLUMNS
FIBERGLASS PLANTERS
EXISTING OAKS TO REMAIN

SERIES OF CREATIVE IMAGES

SEATING IN GRAVEL
BOCCCE BALL
PLANTERS
LOWLINE AFFORDABLE HOUSING: PRELIMINARY BAR ENLARGEMENT PLAN 2: PLANTING
Charleston, SC | July, 2022

LEGEND
a. ARRIVAL TERRACE
b. SEATING AREA
c. GRAVEL RECREATION AREA
d. PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY
e. PLANTING AREA
f. I-26 COLUMNS
g. FIBERGLASS PLANTERS
h. EXISTING OAKS TO REMAIN

PERIMETER PLANTING

PURPLE LOVE GRASS

SLENDER WOOD OATS

ANDROPOGON

SWITCH GRASS
Manufacturer: Unilock
Style: Permeable Concrete Paver
Color: TBD
Size: 4"x16"

Manufacturer: Streetlife
Style: Wood and Corten
Color: Natural
Size: TBD

Manufacturer: Bega
Style: Pole Top Fixture
Color: Powder Coated Black
Size: TBD

Manufacturer: Omega Fence systems
Style: Powder Coated Steel
Color: TBD
Size: 36"HT, 6-8' Panel

Manufacturer: Most Dependable Fountains
Style: Surface Mounted w/ Bottle Filler and Drink two fountain bowls
Color: Textured Copper
Size: 10140sm

Manufacturer: Bega
Style: Bollard Light
Color: TBD
Size: 4"x16"
Agenda Item #4

15 RADCLIFFE STREET
TMS #460-16-02-033

Request conceptual approval for the relocation of the residence at 15 Radcliffe Street to 120 Saint Philip Street.

Category 4 | Radcliffeborough | c. 1890 - 1905 | Old and Historic District
Agenda Item #4 (15 Radcliffe Street)

Applicant’s Presentation
15 Radcliffe Residence – North Side

15 Radcliffe Residence – East Side
Radcliffe Street Mid-Block – North Side

View of Radcliffe and St. Philip Looking North from Mid-Block
Saint Philip Street at Radcliffe – East Side

Saint Philp Street Mid-Block – East Side
Saint Philip Street at Warren – East Side

View Looking South on Saint Philip Street
Saint Philip Street at Warren – West Side

Saint Philip Street – West Side
BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION:
Relocation of the residence at 15 Radcliffe Street to 120 St. Philip Street.

SITE INFORMATION

EXISTING SITE - 15 RADCLIFFE
TAX MAP NUMBER: 460-16-02-033
FLOOD ZONE: ZONE X

PROPOSED SITE - 120 ST. PHILIP
TAX MAP NUMBER: TBD
FLOOD ZONE: ZONE X

EXISTING SITE PLAN
PROPOSED SITE PLAN
ENLARGED SITE PLAN

ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS - HOUSE

DRAWING LIST

ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS - HOUSE

A0.0 TITLE SHEET
A0.1 SITE VIEWS
A0.2 SITE VIEWS
A0.3 ST. PHILIP STREETSCAPE
AS1.0 EXISTING SITE PLAN
AS1.1 PROPOSED SITE PLAN
AS1.1A ENLARGED SITE PLAN
EXISTING VIEW FROM CORNER OF RADCLIFFE AND ST. PHILIP

PROPOSED VIEW FROM CORNER OF RADCLIFFE AND ST. PHILIP
KING STREET

WARREN STREET

RADCLIFFE STREET

ST. PHILIP STREET

24" OAK TREE

124 122 15 RADCLIFFE

RESIDENCE TO BE

RELOCATED TO 120

ST. PHILIP STREET

EXISTING PARKING

121 119 117

2 STORY

WOOD BUILDING

1 STORY

BRICK BUILDING

3 STORY

BRICK BUILDING

1 STORY WOOD BUILDING

TRANSFORMER

EXISTING 10' HIGH

MASONRY GARDEN WALL

4 STORY BRICK

BUILDING

5 STORY BRICK

BUILDING

3 STORY

MASONRY BUILDING

1945 ALUMINUM CAR PORT

EXISTING PARKING

EXISTING PARKING

SURFACE PARKING LOT

KING STREET

DEVELOPERS

459 KING STREET LLC

460-16-02-038

460-16-02-034

4 STORY BRICK BUILDING

2 STORY BRICK BUILDING

1 STORY BRICK BUILDING

1980

VANDERHORST STREET

1 2 3 4

4 3 2 1

SAINT MATTHEW'S LUTHERAN CHURCH

CHARLESTON WATER SYSTEMS

SAINT PATRICK CATHOLIC CHURCH

SAINT PATRICK SCHOOL AND PARISH HALL

CENTRAL BAPTIST CHURCH

PARKING DECK

CEMETERY

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION USE

1" = 40'-0"

EXISTING SITE PLAN

N

15 RADCLIFFE RELOCATION

CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

LEGEND

BUILDING USE

BUILDING RATING

1. THEATER

1. CATEGORY 1 STRUCTURE

2. RESTAURANT

2. CATEGORY 2 STRUCTURE

3. RETAIL

3. CATEGORY 3 STRUCTURE

4. OFFICE

4. CATEGORY 4 STRUCTURE

5. HOUSING

5. HOUSING
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NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION USE
RELOCATED 13 RADCLIFFE (120 ST. PHILIP STREET)
ENTRY PORCH
REAR PORCH
12' WIDE DRIVEWAY
EXIT PATH FOR WARREN PLACE
NEW PROPERTY LINE
SHIFTED PROPERTY LINE
NEW PROPERTY LINE
NEW PROPERTY LINE
SIDEYARD SETBACK
ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE FOR 122 ST. PHILIP STREET TO ALLOW FOR A 12' WIDE DRIVEWAY
122 ST. PHILIP PROPERTY
SIDEWALK
ENTRY PORCH
REAR PORCH
FRONT YARD SET BACK IS NOT REQUIRED
EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
NEW CORNER
ABANDONED PROPERTY CORNER
12' WIDE DRIVEWAY
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15 RADCLIFFE RELOCATION CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA
ST. PHILIP STREET
AERIAL VIEW OF PROJECT SITE

BAR Conceptual - 15 Radcliffe Street - Residence Relocation
15 Radcliffe Residence

Proposed new location for 15 Radcliffe Residence
Proposed new location for 15 Radcliffe Residence
Proposed new location for 15 Radcliffe Residence
Agenda Item #5

145 CALHOUN STREET
TMS # 457-04-02-022

Request preliminary approval for minor addition to existing steel trellis.

Not Rated | c. 1955 | Old and Historic District

WITHDRAWN BY APPLICANT
Agenda Item #6

186 CONCORD STREET
TMS # 459-00-00-091

Request final approval for modifications to front entry and to storage area on southwest side.

Not Rated | c. 1942 | Old City District

WITHDRAWN BY APPLICANT
Agenda Item #7

Revised BAR Sign Policy Statement (General)
By motion of the Board of Architectural Review - Large on August 10, 2022 and of the Board of Architecture Review - Small (BAR-S) on August 12, 2022, the following guidelines were amended regarding the installation of signs in the Old and Historic District and the Old City District.

As part of the built environment, signs have a significant visual impact. In the past, businesses were primarily locally-owned and signs reflected the local aesthetics of the time. In the global age, many businesses answer to national or international corporate entities. Signs developed by these global marketers are often intended for high-traffic metropolitan or suburban areas, and are not compatible with the architectural heritage of Charleston which our responsibility is to safeguard. Therefore, the Board of Architectural Review makes the following statement of policy:

1. Signs should respect the architectural character of the building and its immediate surroundings, in addition to the building’s size, scale, design, and material. The submission of a comprehensive sign package for a structure is strongly encouraged.

2. There shall be no presumption of approval for corporate logos or branding; instead, signs should be reviewed as part of an overall graphics system for a building, regardless of when the submission for approval is made. If multiple tenants occupy one building, their requests for signage, if any, will be reviewed in terms of size and placement so as to avoid a cluttered appearance to the whole of the building and its context. Private agreements regarding tenant signage to which the City is not a party are irrelevant to the submission for approval and will not be considered.

3. Signs should contain the business name and the minimum amount of information, if any, necessary to convey the type of business. Repetitive signs or extraneous information, such as telephone numbers, hours of operation, products and or services sold, etc., will not be allowed.

4. Sign materials should be compatible with those of the building and should not obscure significant architectural features. Illuminated signs are not appropriate for the Old and Historic District and Old City District.

5. Signs should be attached to the building carefully to prevent damage to historic fabric (for example, fitting should penetrate mortar joints rather than brick).

6. This policy statement supersedes the signage policy dated November 28, 2007.

These policy statement Amendments were approved by resolution by the BAR-L on August 10, 2022 and BAR-S on August 12, 2022.

__________________    ___________________________
BAR-S Chairman   City Architect

__________________    ___________________________
BAR-L Chairman   City Architect
Agenda Item #8

New BAR Sign Policy Statement (Historic Corridor District)
BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW  
SIGN POLICY STATEMENT  
(Historic Corridor District)

By motion of the Board of Architectural Review - Large on August 10, 2022 and of the Board of Architectural Review - Small (BAR-S) on August 12, 2022, the following guidelines were amended regarding the installation of signs in the Historic Corridor District.

As part of the built environment, signs have a significant visual impact. In the past, businesses were primarily locally-owned and signs reflected the local aesthetics of the time. In the global age, many businesses answer to national or international corporate entities. Signs developed by these global marketers are often intended for high-traffic metropolitan or suburban areas, and are not compatible with the architectural heritage of Charleston which our responsibility is to safeguard. Therefore, the Board of Architectural Review makes the following statement of policy:

1. Signs should respect the architectural character of the building and its immediate surroundings, in addition to the building’s size, scale, design, and material. The submission of a comprehensive sign package for a structure is strongly encouraged.

2. There shall be no presumption of approval for corporate logos or branding; instead, signs should be reviewed as part of an overall graphics system for a building, regardless of when the submission for approval is made. If multiple tenants occupy one building, their requests for signage, if any, will be reviewed in terms of size and placement so as to avoid a cluttered appearance to the whole of the building and its context. Private agreements regarding tenant signage to which the City is not a party are irrelevant to the submission for approval and will not be considered.

3. Signs should contain the business name and the minimum amount of information, if any, necessary to convey the type of business. Repetitive signs or extraneous information, such as telephone numbers, hours of operation, products and or services sold, etc., will not be allowed.

4. Sign materials should be compatible with those of the building, whether it be historic or not, and should not obscure significant architectural features. Illuminated signs will only be considered if they are muted in tone and brightness, where the submission demonstrates the signage to be harmonious with the building and its context and is aesthetically congruous with the size of the building. External sign illumination is to be a neutral white. Only internal sign illumination which identifies the building may be allowed. Color in illumination is discouraged but may be considered for internal sign illumination on a case by case basis.

5. Signs should be attached to the building carefully to prevent damage to historic fabric (for example, fitting should penetrate mortar joints rather than brick).

6. All illuminated signage is to be reviewed and approved by the City Architect before an Approval is entered into the Government Management System by Staff.

7. This policy statement supersedes the signage policy dated November 28, 2007.

These policy statement Amendments were approved by resolution by the BAR-L on August 10, 2022 and BAR-S on August 12, 2022.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BAR-S Chairman</th>
<th>City Architect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAR-L Chairman</td>
<td>City Architect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agenda Item #9

Presentation by staff on the status of ordinance changes and policy proposals affecting Board of Architectural Review related reviews.